of head of the INDEX of the a speed

- bec dands are cents with which who we are alond a de-

made I haven to My or an all along

PRINCIPAL MATTERS.

of the proceedings Debes Low vs. Marie ..

ACT, sous seing privé.

Wh					ecuted, as	
64.49	Speciel California	100	Bearing James		rd persons	MINITURE
-	day ef	its execu	tion. De	onbrere vs	. Grillier's	syndic. 1
So,	when	possession	has foll	owed its	execution.	Same
	case.	a Limboudne	aci hulum	lkrw .on	sio out or	ofdie i

ADMINISTRATOR.

· One	appointed in another state cannot maintain an action	1
Po	here. Le Ceme ys. Cottin. 47	5
2 4	motion to dismiss his suit, because his letters were	
56	granted in another state is too late, after a plea on	
	the marits. M'Grew vs. Browder.	7
3 And	d he will recover, even where he sues as administra-	
	tor, Same case, some me rant and bestied the of man and	
	the manufacture of the second	

AGENT.

1	Is a competent witness. Robertson vs. Nott. 212
2	Same point. Pratt vs. Flowers. 333
3	The approbation of his act, by the principal, cannot be
	recalled. Breedlove & al. vs. Wamack. 181
4	The receipt of part of an estate from an agent, by the
O.	principal is not evidence of his ratification of a com-

Vol. II. (N. S.) 89

PRINCIPLE SINTERE

TOB LINDEX OF	G E
promise, by which a part of the estate was abandoned	12
by the former. Kilgour vs. Rateliff's heirs.	
5 He who undertakes to collect a debt, by suit, is bound to	4
issue a ca' sa' if the money cannot otherwise be	
made. Flowers vs. M'Micken.	132
AMENDMENT.	1
For the furtherance of justice may be allowed at any stage	
of the proceedings. Debuys & al. vs. Mollere.	626
APPEAL.	
1 It does not lie from an order for the production of the	
bank book of a syndic. Bargebun & al. vs. their	W I
redilors.	496
2 If less than three hundred dollars be claimed, no appeal	
lies although the defendant offer a set off, which	-
added to the claim, will make it exceed that sum;	
nor although accounts to a larger amount were soves-	
tigated during the trial. Breedlove & al. vs. Young.	314
3 If the appellant give bond, but does not prosecute his ap- peal, he cannot on a subsequent one, avail himself, of	
this bond. Lavigne vs. May.	
4 And the appellee may have the appeal dismissed, although	628
he did not appear and take the objection, on the re-	
turn day. Same ease.	id.
5 If a case be submitted to a jury on special issues, the evi-	eu.
dence cannot be legally brought up. Weimprender's	
sundics vs. Trepagnier.	559
6 The clerk's certificate that he has given a full transcript,	
does not enable the supreme court, to examine the	4
case on the merits. Burch vs. Chew.	67
7 When a suit has been tried entirely on documents, the fact	
should be certified by the judge, and not by the clerk.	
Millon 78. Delisle.	339

8 Nothing can be assigned, as error apparent on the face of
the record, that could have been cured, by evidence
legally introduced. Piedbas vs. Milne. 537
9 Same point. Fitz vs. Cauchoix. 265
10 After suffering judgment by default, the defendant cannot
assign, as error apparent on the face of the record, the
absence of evidence to establish the facts alleged in
the petition. Same case. id.
11 In matters emphatically proper to be tried by a jury, the
supreme court does not take upon itself to decide on 1.
other evidence than that laid before the jury. Bow-
man vs. Flowers. 267
12 Therefore, although the rejected evidence come up, the
a case will be remanded, if there be contradictory evi-
dence and a jury was prayed for. Pratt vs. Flowers. 333
13 The supreme court will not disturb a verdict not manifest-
ly contrary to the evidence. Cole vs. Lu. Inc. Co. 165
14 But, it will not deem itself bound by one, evidently con-
trary to law. Dressen va. Cox. The fel the vectoris '631
15 Nor by one, in which there arises a strong presumption
that it does not meet the justice of the case. Mayor
de ve. Griffon. bet a do fester and it is possession & 853
16 A judgment on a question of fact is affirmed, when it
does not clearly appear erroneous. De Vanworth vs.
and Bouchon's heirs. If the convergent area has all alle 536
17 Same point Barrow vs. Sterling. 55
18 When the case is doubtful on the merits, the opinion of
the inferior court prevails. Latrobe's curator vs.
on Sinnotten and letters as he certified as less 580
19 The judgment will be affirmed, if the appellant does not
bring up the case, in such a manner that it may be
examined on the merits, if there be no bill of excep-
tions, &c. Hunter vs. Abert. 328
20 If a judgment be not incorrect in what it decides, it will
to the appear of the grant of a would not be.

not be reversed, because it does not entire justice.	
Pequet & al. vs. Golis! win blatty and a said 121	
21 Nor, if the case turns on the credit of a witness. Morris	
vs. Hatch. 492	
22 On a bill of exceptions, those objections alone are exami-	
ned, that were made below. Pratt vs. Flowers. 333	
23 He, who did not ask for a new trial below, will not obtain	
one above, on the ground that the verdict is not accor-	
o ding to the testimony. Morgan vs. Bickle & al. 377	
24 If the finding of the jury be contradictory, the case will	
be remanded. Weimprender's syndics vs. Trepag-	
750 nier. 558	
25 If the judgment of the court of probates be bottomed on	
an alleged compromise, and reversed on the ground	
none did legally take place, the case will be reman-	
ded to be heard on the merita. Brown & al. vs.	
Brown's extores)	
26 A case was remanded to enable a party to obtain the tes-	
'timony of the judge a quo, although, under the existing	
ting laws, he had correctly referred it. Ross & al.	
Buhler & al. to soited self the or feet each to test 319	2
27. A rehearing will be refused, on a technical objection, if	-
points were not filed. Mitchel vs. Gereais. A 560	3
28 Although a curatrix is not snable, in a district court, if	
she be and have judgment, and the plaintiff appeal, he	
shall pay costs in both courts, as he committed the	
first error. Saunders vs. Highland's curatrix	8
29 Executors cannot be received in their personal capaci-	
ties, as sureties on an appeal bond, on judgment	
against them, in their representative character. La-	
od fon vs. Lafon's ex's a down at any add ar good 57	1
30 Property to the amount of \$400, does not enable the pos-	
sessor to be surety to the amount of \$600. Same case. id	1.
31 The denial of a right claimed frequently furnishes ground	
for an appeal, when the grant of it would not. Bar-	
gebur vs. their creditore.	6

32 When judgment is amended, in favor of the appellie,	
damages cannot be awarded against the appellant for	,
a frivolous appeal. Desblieux va. Derbonneaux. 215	
33 A case will not be remanded for irregularity, in the form of proceeding, if justice can otherwise be done. Ca-	State of the last
nex & al. vs. Sehr. James M.Kinlay. 301	
34 Inconsistency of pleas, not objected to below, cannot be	4
complained of, on the appeal Ray & al. vs. Can-	- Total
35 If a party establish his right, under a title different from	
that set up, the judgment he may obtain will not be	
disturbed on the appeal, if it otherwise do complete	
justice. Wyer vs. Winchester. 69	
resident of the second of the	
ARRAY!	
1 It is not a good challenge to it, that forty-nine jurors were	
drawn and put on the venire. Debuys & al. vs. Mol-	
lere. 625	
The state of the s	
- Paris Prince In Direction	
3 In such a case a challenge to the poll of the juror, illegally drawn, is the proper remedy. Same case,	
drawn, is the proper remedy. Same case. id.	
the same serome ASSIGNEE and the examination	
1 That of a debt not negociable, may sue in his own name.	
Kilgour vs. Ratcliff's heirs: 202	
2 That of a debt in suit may claim the benefit of a judgment	
rendered after the assignment. Same case. id.	100
ATTORNEY.	
In fact, whether he can accept a succession? Le Ceme	
2 The absence of one of the attorneys, employed in a suit, is	
not a ground for a new tripl, when the other declared	
himself ready. Flowers vs. M'Micken. 13:	2
3 Payment to an attorney, who institutes a suit, but does	
to the second se	4

not proceed beyond the service of the citation, cannot	4.8
be allowed, without some other evidence of its legiti-	
macy. Cullen vs. Cerras & al.	15
ATTACHMENT.	R S
1 It lies before a transfer of property by sale and delivery.	17.5
Olivier vs. Townes.	93
2 Even, when a different rule prevails, in the debtor's domi- cil. Same case.	id
3 And on the debtor's property, in the hands of a person,	
who has a lien. Skillman vs. Bethany & al.	104
4 But the lien will prevail over the right of the attaching	0
creditor. Same case.	id
5 Also, in a suit for damages. Cross vs. Richardson.	323
6 The affidavit is sufficient, if it will support an indictment,	0
if the facts be not true. Same sase.	id.
7 Proceedings on attachment, without a citation are null.	1
Cochran vs. Smith & al.	552
8 Until the defendant be properly brought in, no judgment	8 3
can be gived against the garnishee. Mackee & al.	17 1
vs. Cairnes & al.	599
9 The cases, in which an attachment can be taken out for	3
damages are those, where the amount does not de-	
pend on an opinion of the wrongs inflicted, or the fail-	4
ings or reputation of the plaintiff, but on a know-	
ledge of the injury done to property. Cross vs.	
Richardson.	323
BAIL.	
The bond of a person held to bail, on an inaccurate affida-	01
vit, will not be set aside, if it be otherwise sufficiently	
explicit and certain. Turcus vs. Rogers.	655
. but lab and south BAILEE. a sallet son a long	
He cannot oppose to the barter the right of a third person	
to the thing bailed. Butler vs. Kenner & al.	274

122

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

None lies to a final judgment. Moore vs. Maxwell & al. 249

BILL OF EXCHANGE.

- If it be accepted on the promise of a mortgage, which is afterwards executed, on the mortgagor's failure, the time of the promise will be considered, on an application to set the mortgage aside. Wyer's syndics vs. Sweet & al.
- 2 Notice of protest is necessary to charge the drawer, although the bill was given in discharge of a debt. Penn vs.

 Poumeirat.
- 3 And this whether the parties be merchants or not. Same
- 4 A promise to pay the bill, if duly protested, does not bind to pay it, if afterwards protested. Same case.
- 5 Parole evidence is admissible to prove an agreement, between the parties to a bill, that it should be negociated. Robertson vs. Nott.

Wanted to Michigan Bouvier

BOND.

- 1 A party, who subscribes one in blank, is bound by what
 is afterwards written. Breedlove & al. vs. Johnson. 517
- 2 No action lies on a bond to obtain an injunction, which is afterwards dissolved by consent. Same case.
- 8 The landlord's lien is of a higher nature, than the claim of the U. S. or a custom-house bond. Jackson vs.
- 4 An injured party may bring suit on a marshall's bond, in his own name. Hernandez & al. vs. Montgomery. 422
- 5 It is a breach of such a bond not to have the proceeds of a sale ready in court. Same case. id.

 See APPEAL 3, 29, 30 BAID.

CARRIER JUST

If the master of a steam-boat fail to deliver goods shipped, he is responsible for the invoice price. Lafon's ex's. va. Phillips & al. 225

a mortgage, which is out ,o ning demanded and patients charcedie

er out no beseence of half 'I

- 1 The assistance of the attorney-general is not necessary in the prosecution for the removal of a clerk. State vs. Winthrop. 527
- 2 Frequent intemperance and habitual indolence, is toogeneral a charge, in such a case, and evidence cannot be received to support it. Same case.
- 3 A clerk will not be removed, for having acted incautiously, if he thereby have occasioned injury to no one. Same All granies to nowline bill, if for protosted, down nothing
- 4 But he will be, if he procures the means of producing an abortion. State vs. Hell. 683

CONTRACT.

- In a synagmatical one, the dissolving condition is always included. Turner vs. Collins.
- 2 But, it must be sued for, and a delay may be granted to the defendant, according to circumstances. Same . nather webservable a 2 No sotion ties on a bond to obtain an aliquation,

COURT OF PROBATES

I Tay landlood's lien was a higher nature, than the claim of

- 1 If the property of a succession be illegally sold, the action of warranty arising on it cannot be brought in the court of probates. Montamat and wife vs. Debon. 392
- 2. The purchaser of property, at a sale of the court of probates, acquires it free from incumbrances. De Ende vs. Moore.
- 3 Same point. Lafon's ex's. vs. Phillips & al.
- 4 Any irregularity in the sale, must be complained of, be-

PRINCIPAL MATTERS.	713
fore the homologation of the curator's acco	mute Some
and the property of the second of the second	225
case.	and the second
Claims ugainst vacant estates are exclusively	The second secon
in the court of probates. Miles vs. Ford	& al. 439
See Mortgage 2.	madical alimate
CONVEYANCE.	obici se a
That which gives all a debtor's property to	ne creditor
who has no legal preference, is fraudule	
Hodge vs. Morgan.	panenti de 61
If it be attacked as such, it lies on the alience t	The state of the s
If it be attacked as such, it lies on the anenee to	o snew there
and the lead to the second section of the	service of
CORPORATION.	pool 1
is suable only, by the name given it in its ch	arter. Hill-
	mishing helt. 639
Land Contractor Substitutes Wind #100	Alexander and
CREDITOR.	Placement
e may use all legal means against his debt	tor in solido.
Maxwell & al. vs. Saim.	
	Comment of the second
EVIDENCE:	Can valid to the C
Vouchers filed by an executor, in support of	his accounts
are prima facie evidence of their corrects	ness. Caran-
ovichi & al. vs. Debon & al.	59
Alleration that a slave was a third author	

that he was in the habit of stealing. Chretien vs.

3 The acknowledgment of a syndic that a counsel was employed by the insolvent, is not evidence of an agreement to pay him. Seghers vs. Moulon's syndics. 608

4 In a suit for rescinding the sale of a slave, on an allegation of the habit of running away, the deed of sale of the

90

stand - an aphold . muchadapped . s82

Theard.

Vol. II. (N. S.)

ENDEX (

detendant's vendor is evidence for the plaintiff. Ca-	
rian vs. Rieffel.	622
5 Evidence of the slave running away before the sale, may, with other circumstances, establish the habit. Same	
case.	id.
6 Parole evidence may be received, when part of the written	-
was lost or destroyed. Morgan vs. Bickle & al. 7 A plaintiff, who sues as guardian, needs not to prove his	377
capacity, on the plea of the general issue. Harper	
vs. Destrehan.	389
3 The certificate of a commissioner, that a deposition was taken in his pesence, is evidence that every thing	JI.
appearing on it, was done in his presence. Bounan	
vs. Flowers.	267
9 The party objecting to evidence must, at the trial, state	47.2
the particular ground of his objection. Same case.	id.
10 Evidence which is immaterial cannot be received. Gra-	4
wier vs. Pitot & al.	566
11 Declarations of the vendor, out of the presence of the ven-	
dee, may be received against the latter. Guidry vs.	
Gripot.	13
12 But they are no evidence of fraud in the latter. Same	
case.	id.
13 It is not a good objection to evidence, that it does not at	
ence establish the fact it is introduced to prove.	
Same case.	id.
14 The record of a suit, in which judgment was rendered	
for an intervening creditor, is sufficient avidence of	
the latter's claim. Hodge vs. Morgan.	61
15 Proof of the line in dispute being the real boundary of	
the younger tract (purporting to be bounded by the	
older) is strong presumptive evidence of its being	
the boundary line of the former. Sterling's heirs. vs.	12
Johnson.	2 89

16. The mention in a judgment discharging a debtor, that he
took the oath required by law, is evidence of that
fact. Brainard vs. Francis 150
17 An allegation that the plaintiff is owner, authorises evi-
dence of possession. Layton vs. Menard's syndics 515
18 Merchant's books are not evidence against other mer-
chants, of the sale and delivery of articles there char-
ged. Johnson's syndics vs. Breedlove & al. 518
19 A wife, claiming as her husband's legator, cannot shew,
by parol evidence, the simulation of a sale. Guidry
vs. Grivot.
20 A party may be compelled to produce his books of ac-
count. Godel vs. MLanaham 435
21 The rules of the district court must be shewn to the su-
preme court, as other matters of fact. Bowman vs.
Flowers. 268
EXECUTION.
The state of the s
1 Aft far may be levied on money, directed by the legisla-
ture to be paid to the defendant. Flowers vs. Liv-
ingston.
2 And the defendant cannot urge that it is in the construc-
tive possession of a third person. Same case. id.
3 The defendant on a fi' fa' may purchase the plaintiff's
note, and suspend the execution of the writ, till his
right to set off the amount of the note, be enquired
into. Caldwell vs. Davis. 135
See AGENT 5. Application of the contract of th
EXECUTOR.
1 He is suable, before all the property be administered.
Herman vs. Flood.
2 But the judgment ought not to be absolute, but that he
pay in the course of administration. Same case. id.
had to oppose at manufactures and a

3 When he sues on a cause of action, that did not exist in the	eti.
testator, he needs not to sue as executor. Butler vs.	
	274
4 An executor residing abroad, cannot resist the just claim	2
	446
See Evidence 1.	U.I.
the same personness in the state of the same of the same of	
EXPERTS.	
t A report of experts cannot be objected to, because they	
swore, after it was reduced to writing. Nott vs.	
Daunoy & al."	. 1
2 Nor because the oath was administered by a justice of the	11
peace. Same case.	iI.
3 Altho, there be but one tract to be divided, they ought to	
make an inventory and appraisement of the several	
buildings on it. Same case.	id.
FACTOR.	
1 A factor, who hold at 60 days, cannot avert the conse-	
quence of his neglect to demand payment, by show-	
ing that it was his practice not to call upon his custo-	
mers, till the amount due was sufficient to demand a	2
note, and then take it at 60 days. Gilly & al. vs.	
Logan & al.	196
2 If he purchase goods for his principal and promises to ship	
them, he cannot afterwards renounce the bargain.	
Same case.	id.
3 If at the expiration of the credit given, he takes a note to	
himself payable on a future day, he makes the debt	
his own. Homer vs. Beebe.	367
the expect where all he receive the colors at	
FEES.	
Those of a parish judge, in selling the property of a succes-	
sion are those fixed by the act of 1813. Tessier vs.	
Silley & al.	82

HEIR
The beir, who fails to make a correct inventory, loss his
right as a beneficiary one. Le Cesne vs. Collin. 475
2 He may make it before or after branceptance, or he may
accept under an inventory made by another. Same
case. id.
3 The courts here do not lose their jurisdiction in a suit,
by the death of the defendant, and the opening of his
succession abread. Same case. id.
4 An heir is only a creditor for his part of a debt, and cannot
control that of his co-heir. Kilgon vs. Ratcliff's
heirs. 292
5 He cannot secure the object due, if it he not subject to a
corporeal division. Same case. id.
6 He who takes the quality of an heir, accepts the succes-
sion absolutely. Bingey vs. Cox. 473
7 Whether a foreigner can be abmitted as a beneficiary heir?
Le Cesne va. Cotten. 475
HIGHWAY.
Honway
A suit may be maintained by an individual, in the district
court for an obstruction of a highway. Allurd & al.
ve. Lobare. 317
HUSBAND & WIPE
The state of the s
1 The matrimonial rights of a wife, who marries with the
intention of an instant removal, who another coun-

1	The matrimonial rights of a wife, who marries with the
	intention of an instant removal, and another coun-
	try, will be governed by its laws Ford's curator
	vs. Ford.

2 The want of the record of the marriage conract cannot be objected by the husband's representative. Same case.

3. Where they have different domicils, they have presumed to have submitted themselves to the law of that of the busband. Same case.

4 The wife may bind herself with her husband, renouncing ain laws. Banks vs. Trudeau. 5 The creditor then is not bound to show she derived any benefit. - Same care id. 6 But she cannot bind herself as his surety. Same care. id. See EVIDENCE 19. INSOLVENT. 1 Any of the creditors may compel a syndic to produce his bank book. Bargebur & al. ve. their creditors. 2 If he make a promise to his creditors to pay them, if he comes to better fortune, and dies, leaving sufficient property to pay three-fourths of his debts, a release of any creditor will not enure to the others, but to the debtor's heirs. Le Changeur vn Gravier's heirs. 3 The Spanish insolvent laws were not repealed by the adoption of the constitution of the U.S. Ray & al. vs. Cannon & al. 26 4 The act of 1817 has not repealed the former laws relative to a voluntary surrender. Kelsey vs. his creditors. 5 It introduces a cumulative remedy, from which certain insolvents are excluded. Same case. 6 That the election was not legally made, because the persons who voted were not creditors, to the amount stated, nor had any claim, is too general a ground of opposition to the homologation of the proceedings. Bierra Vs. Creditors. 7 The ten days allowed for filing an apposition to the appointment of a syndic, run from the close of the proceedings 57 before the notary. Dreut vs. his creditors. 3 The testimony of an insolvent cannot be received in a suit between a creditor and the syndic. Seghers vs. 608 Moulonly syndics. He cannot employ counsel, after his failure, at the costs of the estate. Same care. id.

10 Syndics have not the right of receiving the whole pro-
ceeds from the sale of a chattel, of which the insol-
vent was a part owner only. Canez & al. vs. Schu.
James MKinlay. 307
11 Fraud is presumed on a insolvent. Brandt & al. vs.
Shaumburgh. 329
12 A creditor may oppose the election of a syndic, not a
creditor. Clamageran vs. Degruy. 156
See EVIDENCE 3.
INSURANCE.
1 The insured may, in all cases, abandon, as for a total loss,
when the thing insured has been injured, to the half
of its value. Hyde & ul. vs. La. State Ins. Co. 410
the state of the s
2 Whether the insured may abandon, when there has not
been a total-loss, in case the insurer will not under-
take to repair the vessel? Quere. Same case. id.
3 But the insurer cannot claim this right, if he abandon,
without calling on the insurer to repair. Same case. id.
4 If a ship become unnavigable, from age or rottenness, the
insurer is not responsible. Same case.
5 If the injury she has sustained be such, that her unsound
and decayed parts cannot be used, as before the acci-
dent, without repairs equal to half the value, the in-
sured may abandon. Same case. id.
6 But, if the repairs of the injury, arising from one of the
risks insured against, will replace her in her frame
situation, no matter how unsound, the usured cannot
abandon. Same case.
La. Insurance Company. 165
INDIAN.
The issue of a female Indian is free. Ulzere & al. vs. Poey-

farre.

INTEREST

1 Is included in the legacy of a debt. Hepp & al. vs. La- fonta's ex's.
2 If interest be promised to be paid on a privileged debt, the
interest is not privileged. Roman vs. D'Auterive. 1
INTERROGATORIES.
1 If a party files his, under his opponent's, he cannot at the
trial object that they are leading ones. Sowers vs.
Flowers and al. 61
2 Nothing requires the defendant's answer to the plaintiff's
interrogatories, to be included in the answer to the
petition. Seat vs. Erwin & al. 24
3 A fact, added by a party, answering interrogatories, is
not to be struck off because not called for. Max-
well & al. vs. Gunn.
An evasive answer creates a violent presumption that a
direct one would be against the interest of him, who
is interrogated. Barrow vs. Sterling. 5
JURY.
startistical and the same section of the same of the s

Part of the facts of a case may be submitted to a jury. Morris vs. Hatch.

See APPEAL 5, 11—15, 24, ARRAY.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE.

Is not answerable civiliter; for a wrong judgment. Dressen vs. Cox. 63

See Experts 2, PRACTICE 11.

LEASE.

t The lessee may be expelled, if he does not pay the tent.

Dressen vs. Cox.

2 He may be held to bail, although his furniture be seized.	1.7
Some case	631
3 On an authentic one, the landlord may obtain an order of	
seizure and sale, in limine litte. Sterrett vs. Smith.	450
4 On a lease for years, if the tenant quit the premises, the	
landlord may demand the rent, for the whole term-	
Christy vs. Casanave.	451
5 A lease at will is determined by a tender of the keys after	
legal notice. Chalmers & al. vs. Vignand's syndics.	189
LEVEES.	
The second secon	15.0
1 Must be repaired, according to the regulations of the po- lice jury. Bouligny vs. Dormenon & al.	455
2 But these have no force, till they be promulgated. Same	455
2 But these have no force, the they be promingated. Same	id.
blos eta 17 , signal grant grant oners ball and belong	444
MANDAMUS.	
The writ of, will not be granted to correct mere errors in	
form. Lafon's ex's. vs. Lafon.	571
and the second second second second	
MINOR	
1 He may consider an illegal sale of his property, by his	1
guardian, as a convertion, and claim the price with	
interest. Chesneau vs. Girod.	612
2 A minor above the age of puberty, must be assisted by his	
curator. Gassiot vs. Giquel.	218
3 If he be not, the circumstance may, on the appeal, be as-	3, 1
signed as an error, apponent on the face of the record.	
Same case. A partition of experience with the experience	id
4 When the forms of law have been pursued, in the alien-	EV.
ation of a minor's estate, he is considered as having	7.
made it, being of full age. Againe & at. vs. Gend-	
ron & al.	_ 73
the an elegantic fluid to be been been administrated an account of	8
17 - A Secretary and the second and	

	5 If a person of age bring suit for a minor, without author-	
	ity, he will be decreed to pay costs. Gasiot vs. Gie-	
		218
r	6 The circumstance of a minor's estate being already in the	
	hands of a tutor, could not prevent the legislature	
	from directing it to be administered in a new way.	
	Aguisse & al. vs. Gendron & al.	73
	7 No law requires that in the inventory of a minor's estate	۸.
	the property coming from his father should be distin-	
	guished from that coming from his mother. Same	
	3 Nor will the court set aside a sale of his property, because	id.
	such a distinction was omitted. Same case.	id.
	9 If a sale of minor's estate be sought to be set aside on ac-	
	count of lesion, by a restitutio in integrum, the cir-	1 4
	cumstance that some time after the sale. It was sold	15
	by the vendee, for a greater price than he give, will	
	not authorise the restitution. Same case.	id.
	10 Particularly, if he gave the appraised price. Same case.	id.
	MORTGAGE.	
	1 When the deed of, has a clause de non alienando, the	N.
	premises may be sold, at once, in the hands of the	
	vendee. Nathan & al. vs. Lee.	32
	But this clause does not prevent a sale, by the court of	2.
	probates. De Ende vs. Moore.	336
*	3 The mortgagee has a right to require the syndics should	() E
	sell for cash. Ascinalli vs. Menard's syndics.	222
	4 It suffices that the mortgage be recorded, before the ces-	
	sion, to be binding on the creditors. Same case.	id.
	5 Where the premises have passed into the hands of a third	
	person, the creditor is driven to the action of mort-)
	gage. Layton, syndics vs. Menard.	515
	6 The mortgagee's right under pact de non alienando is not.	
	repealed by the civil code. Nathan & al. vs. Lee.	32

PRIA	CIP.A	TAN	ATT	PEDS

7 A morigage does not prevent the vendor and mortgager
from pursuing his right, althou it enables the mortgagee
to disturb the vendee. Wyer vs. Winchester. 69

NOVATION.

If the creditor give a receipt for a note, in payment of his account, this creates a novation of the debt. Barron & al. vs. How.

OVERSEER.

- 1 If the defendant promised to deliver to the plaintiff, his overseer, a quantity of provisions for him and family, he cannot withhold them till the end of the year. Seal vs. Erwin & al.
- 2 It is not a fatal objection to the petition, in which they are claimed in money, that their value is not stated.
 Same care.
 id.
- 3 If A. propose to B. to take charge of his plantation for a fixed allowance; It's going and taking charge of it, is evidence of his assent. Same case.

PARISH JUDGE.

He is not answerable for error in judgment. Bouligny vs.

Dormenon & al.

See FEES.

PLEDGE.

The change and education of

The creditor may be compelled to sell the. Williams & al.
vs. Schr. St. Stephens.

PARTNER.

1 Endorsing a note due to the firm, after his co-partner's death, transfers all his own interest. Jones & al. vs.

Thorn.

2 In a joint speculation, the partner who acts, ought to take	9.
the necessary steps to secure payment, or give notes	
to the person interested with him of the danger of the	
loss. Barron & al. vs. Blanchard.	662
3 Otherwise he will be liable to indemnify him. Same case.	id.
4 The expenses of a partner, in prison bounds, for a debt of	
the firm, are to be borne by it. Day & al. vs. Morte.	90
5 An injunction improperly sued out against a partner, au-	
thorises a suit for damages by the firm. Mitchel &	
13 Charleson &	568
6 A surviving partner, being a joint tenant, may resist alone	A
a tortions sale. Wyer vs. Winchesler.	69
POWER.	
A power to institute a suit, and prosecute to a final judg-	
ment, does not include that of making a compromise,	
or of receiving the money recovered. Kilgour vs.	
	292
autory onesia.	
PRACTICE.	
1 Jurisdiction once obtained cannot be divested or suspended	
by any act of the parties, pendente lite. Freeland vs.	
	257
2 And the rule applies to all the incidents of the cause.	4.
Same case.	id.
3 If satisfaction be improperly entered, the legal remedy is	
by a suit in the ordinary way. Kilgour & al. vs.	
	292
4 All regulations made under pretence of public good, which	~~~
interfere with the rights of individuals, should be	
	455
strictly pursued. Bouligny vs. Dormenon & al. 5 The defendant is entitled to over of the instrument sued	300
 Institute description on a second material of the season and the Principle 	211
on. Maxwell vs. Walker & al.	211
Even, when he has not answered, within ten days, if no	id

7 When a party submits certain points on questions of law	
to the court, the admission of the faction which they	
are granted is implied. Golis vs. his creditors. 100	3
9 When the parties agree on certain facts and submit others	
to the jury, the court is to pronounce judgment on the	r.
whole, after the contested facts are found by the jury.	
Same case. id.	
9 The district court cannot proceed in a suit, after the de-	
fendant has obtained a stay from the parish court.	
Hummin vs. Jones.	1
10 The plaintiff needs not support his petition by his oath.	
Bingey vs. Cox. 473	
11 The case of a justice of the peace sued for malfeasance	, ii
in office, forms no exception to the rule. Same case. id.	
12 The district court does not lose jurisdiction of a cause	
before it, when the defendant dies, without leaving	
any property, in the reach of the court of probates.	
Le Cesne vs. Cottin. 475	6
13 When the point of fact is doubtful, judgment is given a-	
gainst the party holding the affirmative. Walton &	
al. vs. Grant & al. 494	ŧ
14 A party cannot on a partial set off enjoin the whole exe-	
cution. Palfrey vs. Shaff. 51	1
15 Nor ought a court enjoin the execution of its own judg-	
ment, because the defendant has acquired claims a-	
gainst the plaintiff. Same case. mine yeller mine! id	
16 The want of an answer does not authorise the confirma-	
tion of a judgment by default, without evidence, when	
the debt is not liquidated. Milne vs. Labo & al. 83	3
17 The same latitude is not allowed, as at common law, to a	
party who sets forth his claim in the general forms of	
declaration on common law count. Strond vs. Beards-	
lee. Miss areas a street of the service. 80	1
18 The act of 1804, regulating proceedings as law require	

-	a consistency between the allegata et probata. Same	44
-	case and the second of the sec	84
19	One suit may be brought on several causes of action, if	
1	the be not inconsistent, Cross vs. Richardson.	323
20	When a right is asserted on one ground and shewn on	
	another, judgment will be given, according to the jus-	
4	tice of the case. Rodriguez vs. Morse.	358
21	A judgment in a sister state, supports the plea of resjudi-	14
	cuta. Mackee & al. vs. Cairnes & al.	599
22	The plaintiff in his replication, cannot claim the benefit	
	of a judgment, which is opposed to him on an excep-	
	tion. Same case.	id.
23	In suits in which there are several defendants, they must	
. 1	all appear and answer, in the parish in which the	
	land lies, altho' neither reside there. Davenport's	
	heirs vs. Fortier & al.	374
24	. An amended petition need be served but must be an-	
4	swered, or judgment will be taken by default. Free-	
	land vo. Lanfear.	257
25	If a debtor deny a debt, which he afterwards admits, he	
	shall pay costs, although the judgment authorise how	
	to withhold payment untill he receive security. Ha-	
	rang vs. Le Breton.	361
26	The decree of a court of competent jurisdiction, cannot	
	be examined collaterally by the parties, or those who	
		292
27	When the petition charges that the bond sued on, was ta-	
	ken, according to law, and it is set forth and made a	
CO	part of it, the reading of it cannot be objected to, on	
	an allegation that some of the formalities of the law	77.5
	were neglected. Duchamp & al. vs. Nicholson.	672
28	In whatever manner one may appear to have bound him-	
	self, he shall be bound. Same case.	id.

PRESCRIPTION.

THE COUNTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	
1 Is not pleadable to an action for freedom. Delphine va	100
Deveze.	650
2 It is interrupted, by an action, in which the plaintiff is non	
suited. Chretien vs. Theard.	582
3 It does not run against him, who cannot sue. Hernande	. 7
& al. vs. Mentgomery.	422
4 Actions to set aside contracts as fraudulent, must be	e
brought within three years. Weimprender's syndic	9
vs. Weimprender:	.591
5 But when the debtor is insolvent, it needs not be commen	17
ced till after a settlement of the estate. Same case.	id.
6 The prescription of three years, bars the claim of an attor	
ney at law for his services. Morse vs. Brand.	515
7 Prescription does not begin to run, till the condition be ac	
complished To Change up Change heins	EAS

PRISON BOUNDS.

A debtor in the, may avail himself of the act of 1808, in favour of debtors in actual custody. Brainard vs. Francis.

See PARTNER 4.

PRIVILEGE.

None is attached to the claim of a teacher, in an insolvent's school. Labat vs. Labat's syndics. 652

PROMISSORY NOTE.

- 1 The endorser of a note, given by the maker for the purchase of a slave, is by payment subrogated to the vender's rights. Torregano vs. Segura's syndics. 15
- 2 And he may demand the rescission of the sale, Same case. id.
- 3 If the petition does not shew notice to the endorser, the

INDEX OF

judgment should not be final, but the plaintiff should be nonsuited. Foster vs. Randolph.	
The street of th	495
4 Payment of a note or bill, supra protest, cannot be made before protest. Holland vs. Pierce.	499
5 If payment be made before, although the note be after-	-
wards protested, the endorser is not liable. Same	
case.	id.
6 If the maker cannot be found, payment must be demanded	a.
at his domicil, if it be within the state. Insurance co.	10
vs. Shaumburgh.	511
6 The payee, who has endorsed the note, cannot have any	
action on it, even for the use of the endorser. Moore	
vs. Maxwell & al.	249
3 In the description of a note, an error in the fractional part	
The state of the s	666
See PARTNER 1.	
The state of the s	1
RECONVENTION.	
Although an unliquidated demand cannot be pleaded in com-	
pensation, it may often be opposed by the way of re-	
convention. Agaisse & at. vs. Gendron & al.	72
RESPITE.	
The laws relating to respites, which were in force in Lou-	
isjana, before the adoption of the constitution of the	
U. S. are not repealed by that instrument. Chal-	
THE PLAN SHOW THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	15
After a respite, the debtor cannot legally give any prefer-	10
ence to a creditor. Brandt & al. syndics vs. Shaum-	
	29
	160
SALE-	

Altho' the clause of warranty relates to a defect of title, it is not to be presumed that the parties to a sale, inten-

ALL LED DU TELLE	127	490 - 4		200.0
PRINCIP.	AL.	MA	TTE	RS

d	led there should be no warranty as to redhibitory de-
	ects. Castellano vs. Peillon. 466
2 The v	rendor is affected by a judgment against the vendeer
	Same case. id.
3 A sui	t to set aside a sale is well brought against the party,
100	who received the property. Guidry vs. Gricot. 13
4 If the	vendee promise to pay the price to the vendor of his
0	own vendor, he cannot delay the suit of the first ven-
d	or, till he obtain judgment against the second, on an al-
	eged deficiency of quantity. Desblieux vs. Derbon-
21	seaux. and the property of the season of the season 216
	See EVIDENCE 4, 5, 11, 12.
	Li saruta d'an meticaler are legan les les les
	SEQUESTRATION.
Sequeste	ered property, when there is judgment of non-suit, is
t	o be replaced, in the hands of him from whom it was
. t	aken. Hasluck & al. vs. Morgan.
	SLANDER.
	Applicated TO 1920 to New Account at the Part State State of the Part of the P
	action of slander, it is sufficient to prove the sub-
	tance of the words charged. Freeland vs. Lanfear. 257
	charge of robbing the defendant of his tobacco, is
	not supported by evidence of its being dishonestly ob-
t	ained. Same case. and a select the year select a de-
	the real of the reason of the real to be a seen of the
	Parties and the SLAVE to be contained to the
1 When	the verdict finds that a slave, who has died, had the
	consumption, at the time of the sale, the disease may
	airly be presumed to have been incurable. Des-
140	lunes vs. Millere 130 grant eregions a 10 grant and 53
	owner of a slave remove him, from Kentucky, into
	Ohio, animo morandi, she becomes free ipso facto.
	Temeford we Comillon

92

Vol. II. (N. S.)

3	The bona fu	le vendee of a	stolen slave,	cannot	compel the	
	owner	to restore his p	rice. Hary	per vs. 1	Destrehan.	389

SURETY

1 An auctioneer's sureties are liable for goods sold by him	&
his partner. Kuhn & al. vs. Abat & al.	168
2 If a suit be brought against the principal and sureties a	od
he fail in the mean while, judgment may be obtain	ed
against them. Same case.	id.

- 3 The surety in a bond, in which it is stated that the principal has been appointed auctioneer, is estopped from denying that he was. Duchamp & al. vs. Nicholson. 672
- 4 The sureties of an auctioneer are bound for the payment of the amount of the goods sold, after the date of the bond, although they were delivered to him before.

 Same case.

UNITED STATES.

They have no lien for their debts, but a right of priority of payment, out of the funds in the hands of the representatives of their insolvent debtors. Jackson vs. Oddie, 555

WITNESS.

- 1 The vendee may offer the notary, who drew the sale, to prove that the vendor was in possession of an act, unwhich he claimed title to the slaves sold, and which was referred to in the sale. Carian vs. Rieffel.
- 2 The testimony of an insolvent cannot be received, in an action between a creditor and the syndics. Seghers vs. Moulon's syndics.
- The deposition of a witness taken at a different time and place than those mentioned in the notice cannot be read. Gilly & al. vs. Logan & al.
- 4 Service of the interrogatories to be put to a witness does



SUBJECT SE

Mar with

Street Street

on haddayaar waxay ya laa

Tester/retory

goldenia:

A paler ha

PRINCIPAL MATTERS.

not dispense with notice of the time and place of examination. Bowman vs. Flowers. 268

A district judge cannot be examined as a witness in his own court. Ross & al. vs. Buhler & al. 312

The criminality of a witness cannot be proved otherwise than by the record of his conviction. Castellano vs. Peillon. 466

731

96

See EVIDENCE 8, AGENT 1 & 2.

hourses be

in Martin in Supplies

or proper as

to the last

TO THE PARTY

naw suspection.

× 11538

28- 100724

doubles